Natural Resources Conservation Service

Application Ranking Summary

Habitat Maintenance/Protection

Program:	Ranking Date:	Application Number:
Ranking Tool: Habitat Maintenance/Protection		Applicant:
Final Ranking Score:		Address:
Planner:		Telephone:
Farm Location:		

National Priorities Addressed

Issue Questions	
Healthy Plant and Animal Communities: Wildlife Habitat Conservation – Will the proposed project assist the producer to:	
1. a. Retain wildlife and plant benefits on land exiting the Conservation Reserve Program?	Yes O or No O
1. b. Address and support existing conservation initiatives such as but not limited to the following or new conservation initiatives that support State, National or tribal fish or wildlife plans: Sage Grouse, Lesser Prairie Chicken, Longleaf Pine, New England-New York Forestry	
1. c. Benefit federally listed threatened and endangered, at-risk, candidate, fish or wildlife species of concern?	
1. d. Benefit prioritized native habitat critical to a fish or wildlife species?	
1. e. Increase, improve or establish pollinator habitat?	
1. f. Eradicate or control prioritized noxious or invasive species?	
1. g. Benefit declining or important aquatic wildlife species prioritized in the State WHIP Plan?	
1. h. Implement conservation practices which benefit prioritized fish or wildlife species in forested areas?	
1. i. Establish habitat on pivot corners and irregular areas on agricultural land?	
1. j. Provide self-sustaining habitat for prioritized fish and wildlife while reducing net carbon emissions or boosting carbon storage (e.g., warm season perennial grasses, trees or shrubs)?	
1. k. Benefit migration and other movement corridors for prioritized wildlife?	Yes O or No O
Business Lines – Conservation Implementation - Additional Ranking Considerations – Will the applicant in the proposed project:	
2. a. Complete habitat development within the first two years of the agreement?	Yes O or No O

State Issues Addressed

Issue Questions	
For questions 1-3, only ONE yes answer may be given (use the appropriate habitat evaluation worksheet).	
1. The planned habitat is >50 points and at least 60 points higher than the benchmark.	Yes O or No O
2. The planned habitat is >50 points and at least 40-59 points higher than the benchmark.	Yes O or No O
3. The planned habitat is >50 points and at least 20-39 points higher than the benchmark.	Yes O or No O
For question 4-6, only ONE yes answer may be given.	
4. The size of the planned 645 area is greater than 25 acres.	Yes O or No O
5. The size of the planned 645 area is 11-25 acres.	Yes O or No O
6. The size of the planned 645 area is 2-10 acres	Yes O or No O
For question 7-8, only ONE yes answer may be given.	
7. The planned area will serve as a >50 ft wide corridor for wildlife travel, regardless of total acreage.	Yes O or No O
8. The planned area will serve as a 35-49 ft wide corridor for wildlife travel, regardless of total acreage.	Yes O or No O
General questions.	
9. Is there an existing wildlife habitat plan approved by the State Biologist or Private Lands Wildlife	Yes O or No O

Biologist?	
10. Have any maintenance activities (other than mowing) occurred on the habitat prior to the planned practice?	Yes O or No O
11. The area controlled is either adjacent to or within 0.25 miles of a permanently managed/protected wildlife area.	Yes O or No O
12. Livestock will be excluded from wildlife habitat.	Yes O or No O
13. Prescribed burning will be used to manage any of the habitat.	Yes O or No O

Local Issues Addressed

Issue Questions	
1. Will the project benefit Early Successional species in the Quail Action Plan focus Districts (Big Walker, Headwaters, Culpeper, Three Rivers, Chowan Basin, Halifax)?	
2. Does the project include activities that improve the quality of an existing riparian buffer?	
3. Will the project occur within 2 miles of and benefit a species that is in the Toolkit layer T&E species (either DGIF or Natural Heritage)?	
4. Will the project occur within 2 miles of a listed water (Toolkit layer "T&E Water) and improve stream quality?	

Land Use:

Resource Concerns	Practices
Ranking Score	
Efficiency:	
Local Issues:	
State Issues:	
National Issues:	
Final Ranking Score:	

This ranking report is for your information. It does not in any way guarantee funding. When funding becomes available, you will be notified if your application is selected for funding. Some changes to the application may be required before a final contract is awarded.

Notes:

	Application Signature Not Required for Contract Development unless required by State policy:
Signature Date:	Signature Date: